The decay $\tau \to f_1\pi\nu_\tau$ in the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model

A. V. Vishneva,[1,*] M. K. Volkov,[1,†] and D. G. Kostunin[2,‡]

[1] *Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, Dubna, 141980 Russia*
[2] *Institut für Kernphysik, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Germany*

The width of the decay $\tau \to f_1\pi\nu_\tau$ was recently obtained from the data of BaBar experiment. The theoretical estimations for this process are given in the framework of two chiral models: the standard NJL model, and the extended NJL model, which includes radially excited meson states. The first test of the extended NJL model with radially excited axial-vector resonances is performed here. Both predictions are in agreement with the experimental data. The differential decay widths for each model is also provided in the present paper.

PACS numbers: 13.35.Dx, 12.39.Fe
Keywords: tau decays, axial-vector mesons

arXiv:1405.0818v2 [hep-ph] 7 May 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

The decays of τ-leptons are intensively studied in various experiments, for instance, BaBar, Belle, etc. As far as τ decays occur at the energies below 1.8 GeV, the QCD perturbation theory cannot be applied. Thus, one has to use various phenomenological models. These models are generally based on the chiral symmetry and using the vector meson dominance model [1–5] for the intermediate states description. One of the most successful models of this type is the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model [6–13]. For the description of the radially excited mesons the extended NJL model [13–18] is used. Unlike the other models, the NJL model does not require additional arbitrary parameters for each process considered, thus, it was successfully applied for description of a number of τ decays and $e^+e^- \to hh$ processes, e.g. $\tau \to \pi\pi\nu$, $\pi\omega\nu$, $\pi\eta\nu$, $\eta\pi\pi\nu$, $e^+e^- \to \pi(\pi')\gamma$, $\eta(\eta')\gamma$, $\pi\omega$, $\pi\rho$, $\pi\pi(\pi')$, $\eta\pi\pi$ (see [19, 20] and the references therein). In all these processes intermediate vector mesons take place. Let us note that the processes with intermediate vector mesons are described in the same way for e^+e^- collisions and τ decays.

However, there is a number of τ decays with intermediate axial-vector mesons, unlike e^+e^- annihilation processes. For instance, $\tau \to 3\pi\nu_\tau$ [21, 22], $\tau \to \pi\gamma\nu_\tau$ [23, 24], $\tau \to \pi l^+ l^- \nu_\tau$ [25] $\tau \to f_1\pi\nu_\tau$ [1, 2]. In all these works only the ground-state intermediate axial-vector meson $a_1(1260)$ was taken into account. However, the mass of τ-lepton allows one also to consider an intermediate radially excited $a_1(1640)$ meson in these processes. In a recent paper [18] radially excited axial-vector mesons in the extended NJL model were described. It allows us to estimate the contribution of the radially-excited axial-vector intermediate mesons in decays of τ-leptons. In the present work, this method is demonstrated for the decay $\tau \to f_1\pi\nu_\tau$.

*Electronic address: vishneva@theor.jinr.ru
†Electronic address: volkov@theor.jinr.ru
‡Electronic address: dmitriy.kostunin@kit.edu

In the present paper, this decay is described in the framework of the standard NJL model with only intermediate $a_1(1260)$ meson, and in the extended NJL model with $a_1(1260)$ and $a_1(1640)$ intermediate mesons. We also take into account $\pi - a_1$ transitions in the intermediate states. Our estimates are compared with previous theoretical predictions [1, 2] and BaBar experimental data [26].

II. THE LAGRANGIAN OF THE STANDARD AND THE EXTENDED NJL MODEL

In the standard NJL model, the quark-meson interaction Lagrangian for pseudoscalar and axial-vector mesons takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}_S^{int}(q,\bar{q},a,\pi) &= \bar{q}(k')\left[g_{\rho_1} a^\mu_{0,\pm}\tau^{0,\pm}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\right. \\ &+ \left. ig_{\pi_1}\pi_{0,\pm}\tau^{0,\pm}\gamma^5\right] q(k),\end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where q and $\bar{q}$ are u- and d-quark fields, a and π are the axial-vector and pseudoscalar meson fields in the ground state, $\tau^0 = \mathbf{I}$ and

$$\tau^+ = \sqrt{2}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tau^- = \sqrt{2}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

The coupling constants

$$g_{\rho_1} = \left(\frac{2}{3}I_2(m_u)\right)^{-1/2}, \qquad g_{\pi_1} = \left(\frac{4}{Z}I_2(m_u)\right)^{-1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where and $Z = (1 - 6m_u^2/m_{a_1}^2)^{-1}$ is the factor corresponding to the $\pi - a_1$ transitions, and the integrals I_m have the following form:

$$I_m(m_q) = \frac{N_c}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^4k}{(m_q^2 - k^2)^m}\Theta(\Lambda_4^2 - k^2), \quad (3)$$

where $m_q = m_u = m_d = 280$ MeV is the quark mass, and the cut-off parameter $\Lambda_4 = 1.24$ GeV [7].

The pion coupling constant can be also obtained from the Goldberger-Treiman relation: $g_{\pi_1} = m_u/F_\pi$, where $F_\pi = 93$ MeV is the pion weak decay constant.

In paper [18], the corresponding Lagrangian in the extended NJL model was obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta\mathcal{L}_E^{int}(q,\bar{q},a,a',\pi,\pi') = \\ &= \bar{q}(k')\left[\left(A_1 a^{\mu}_{0,\pm} - A_2 a'^{\mu}_{0,\pm}\right)\tau^{0,\pm}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5 + \right. \\ &+ \left.\left(P_1\pi_{0,\pm} - P_2\pi'_{0,\pm}\right)\tau^{0,\pm}\gamma^5\right] q(k),\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where a' and π' are the axial-vector and pseudoscalar meson fields in the excited state,

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 &= g_{\rho_1}\frac{\sin(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} + g_{\rho_2}f(k_\perp^2)\frac{\sin(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}, \\ A_2 &= g_{\rho_1}\frac{\cos(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} + g_{\rho_2}f(k_\perp^2)\frac{\cos(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}, \\ P_1 &= g_{\pi_1}\frac{\sin(\alpha+\alpha_0)}{\sin(2\alpha_0)} + g_{\pi_2}f(k_\perp^2)\frac{\sin(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{\sin(2\alpha_0)}, \\ P_2 &= g_{\pi_1}\frac{\cos(\alpha+\alpha_0)}{\sin(2\alpha_0)} + g_{\pi_2}f(k_\perp^2)\frac{\cos(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{\sin(2\alpha_0)},\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\beta = 79.85^\circ$, $\beta_0 = 61.44^\circ$ and $\alpha = 59.38^\circ$, $\alpha_0 = 59.06^\circ$ are the mixing angles for axial-vector and pseudoscalar mesons, respectively. The form factors $f(k_\perp^2)$ used in the extended NJL model have the form $f(k_\perp^2) = (1 + dk_\perp^2)$, where $k_\perp$ is the transverse quark momentum, for light quarks $d = -1.784$ GeV^{-2}. The quark-meson coupling constants are

$$g_{\rho_2} = \left(\frac{2}{3}I_2^{f^2}(m_u)\right)^{-1/2}, \qquad g_{\pi_2} = \left(4I_2^{f^2}(m_u)\right)^{-1/2}, \tag{6}$$

where the integrals $I_m^{f^n}$ read

$$I_m^{f^n}(m_q) = \frac{N_c}{(2\pi)^4}\int \mathrm{d}^4k \frac{(f_q({k_\perp}^2))^n}{(m_q^2-k^2)^m}\Theta(\Lambda_3^2-k_\perp^2), \tag{7}$$

and the cut-off parameter $\Lambda_3 = 1.03$ GeV [15, 19].

III. THE DECAY $\tau \to f_1\pi\nu_\tau$

In this section, we present the calculations for the branching ratio of the process $\tau \to f_1\pi\nu_\tau$.

The decay is described with the diagrams on Figs. 1-3.

A. The standard NJL model

In the standard NJL model, the amplitude of this decay reads

$$\begin{aligned}T_S^{\nu} &= \frac{G_F\,|V_{ud}|}{g_{\rho_1}}L_\mu\left(1+(Q^2-6m_u^2)BW_{a_1}(Q^2)\right. \\ &+ \left. 6m_u^2 BW_{a_1}(Q^2)\right)T^{\mu\nu}_{a_1\to f_1\pi},\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$



Figure 1: Contact diagram describing the $\tau \to f_1\pi\nu_\tau$ decay.



Figure 2: Diagram with intermediate axial-vector mesons



Figure 3: Diagram with pseudoscalar–axial-vector transitions. The lepton-pion vertex is described in [27].

where $G_F = 1.16637\cdot10^{-11}$ MeV^{-2} is the Fermi constant, $|V_{ud}| = 0.97428$ is the cosine of the Cabibbo angle, $L_\mu = \bar{\nu}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma^5)\tau$ is the lepton current.

The first two addenda correspond to the amplitudes obtained in [21, 23], and the third one describes $\pi - a_1$ transitions and is obtained the following way (see Eq. 2):

$$\begin{aligned}F_\pi Q^\mu BW_\pi(Q^2) g_{\pi_1} g_{\rho_1} m_u Q_\mu 4I_2 &\approx \\ m_u F_\pi\sqrt{6}\sqrt{Z} &= \frac{6m_u^2}{g_{\rho_1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In the pion Breit-Wigner function $BW_\pi(Q^2)$ the mass and the width of pion is small in comparison with the momentum Q, thus we neglect them.

The contribution of the intermediate $a_1(1260)$ is

$$BW_{a_1}(Q^2) = \frac{1}{m_{a_1}^2 - Q^2 - i m_{a_1}\Gamma_{a_1}},$$

where Q is the a_1 meson momentum, $m_{a_1} = 1230$ MeV [28]. As far as the decay width of a_1 meson is not known well, we use the following values from the recent experimental data: $\Gamma_{a_1} = 367$ MeV [29], $\Gamma_{a_1} =$

410 MeV [30]. The amplitude $a_1 \to f_1\pi$ was obtained in [18] and reads

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{a_1\to f_1\pi} = \frac{N_c}{8\pi^2 F_\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_\alpha q_\beta, \tag{10}$$

where p and q are the pion and f_1 meson momenta. One can see that in this case the vector meson dominance model appears automatically. One can obtain the branching ratio $\mathcal{B}_S(\tau \to f_1\pi\nu) = 4.10\cdot 10^{-4}$ for $\Gamma_{a_1} = 367$ MeV and $\mathcal{B}_S(\tau \to f_1\pi\nu) = 3.97\cdot 10^{-4}$ for $\Gamma_{a_1} = 410$ MeV.

The experimental values of the branching ratio were obtained recently by BaBar collaboration with measuring two f_1 modes [26]:

$$\mathcal{B}_{exp}(\tau \to f_1\pi^-\nu_\tau) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\tau \to 2\pi^+3\pi^-\nu_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(f_1 \to 2\pi^+2\pi^-)}, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{exp}(\tau \to f_1\pi^-\nu_\tau) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \pi^+2\pi^-\eta\nu_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(f_1 \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta)}. \tag{12}$$

These values are $(4.73 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.45)\cdot 10^{-4}$ and $(3.60 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.23)\cdot 10^{-4}$, respectively.

B. The extended NJL model

In the extended NJL model, this amplitude becomes more complicated:

$$\begin{aligned} T^\nu_E &= G_F\,|V_{ud}|\,L_\mu\left[V_W + V_{a_1}BW_{a_1}(Q^2)\right. \\ &\times \left.\left((Q^2-6m_u^2)C_1 + 6m_u^2 C_1\right)\right]T^{\mu\nu}_{a_1\to f_1\pi}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_W &= \frac{\sin(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}g_{\rho_1}I_3(m_u) \\ &+ \frac{\sin(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}g_{\rho_2}I_3^f(m_u), \\ V_{a_1} &= \left[\frac{\sin(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}\right]^2 g^2_{\rho_1}I_3(m_u) \\ &+ 2\frac{\sin(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}\frac{\sin(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}g_{\rho_1}g_{\rho_2}I_3^f(m_u) \\ &+ \left[\frac{\sin(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}\right]^2 g^2_{\rho_2}I_3^{f^2}(m_u), \\ C_1 &= \frac{1}{g_{\rho_1}}\left(\frac{\sin(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} + \Gamma\frac{\sin(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}\right), \\ \Gamma &= \frac{I_2^f}{\sqrt{I_2 I_2^{f^2}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The corresponding branching ratio is $\mathcal{B}_E(\tau \to f_1\pi\nu) = 6,57\cdot 10^{-4}$ for $\Gamma_{a_1} = 367$ MeV and $\mathcal{B}_E(\tau \to f_1\pi\nu) = 6,3\cdot 10^{-4}$ for $\Gamma_{a_1} = 410$ MeV.

Now let us take into account the contribution of $a_1(1640)$ meson, which reads

$$\begin{aligned} T^\nu_{a_1'} &= G_F\,|V_{ud}|\,L_\mu V_{a_1'}BW_{a_1'}(Q^2) \\ &\times C_2^\rho(Q^2-6m_u^2)T^{\mu\nu}_{a_1\to f_1\pi}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} BW_{a_1'}(Q^2) &= \frac{Q^2-6m_u^2}{m^2_{a_1'} - Q^2 - im_{a_1'}\Gamma_{a_1'}}, \\ V_{a_1'} &= -\frac{\sin(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}\frac{\cos(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}g^2_{\rho_1}I_k(m_u) \\ &- \frac{\sin(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}\frac{\cos(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}g_{\rho_1}g_{\rho_2}I_k^f(m_u) \\ &- \frac{\sin(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}\frac{\cos(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}g_{\rho_1}g_{\rho_2}I_k^f(m_u) \\ &- \frac{\sin(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}\frac{\cos(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}g^2_{\rho_2}I_k^{f^2}(m_u), \\ C_2 &= -\frac{1}{g_{\rho_1}}\left(\frac{\cos(\beta+\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)} + \Gamma\frac{\cos(\beta-\beta_0)}{\sin(2\beta_0)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $m_{a_1'} = 1647$ MeV, $\Gamma_{a_1'} = 254$ MeV [28].

Finally, the branching ratio of this process with the intermediate ground- and excited-state axial-vector mesons is $\mathcal{B}_{tot}(\tau \to f_1\pi\nu) = 5.26\cdot 10^{-4}$ for $\Gamma_{a_1} = 367$ MeV and $\mathcal{B}_{tot}(\tau \to f_1\pi\nu) = 4.72\cdot 10^{-4}$ for $\Gamma_{a_1} = 410$ MeV.

Let us note that we do not take into account $\pi - a_1$ transitions for radially excited mesons because of their negligible contribution [15].

All estimations for partial branching ratios are presented in Table I.

Table I: Partial branching ratios, $\Gamma_{a_1} = 410$ MeV (367 MeV)

Contribution	Standard NJL, $\times 10^{-4}$	Extended NJL, $\times 10^{-4}$
W+a_1	2.21 (2.22)	3.53 (3.62)
W+$a_1+\pi$	**3.97 (4.10)**	6.30 (6.57)
W+a_1+a_1'	—	2.28 (2.58)
a_1'	—	0.94
W+$a_1+a_1'+\pi$	—	**4.72 (5.26)**

We give the differential decay widths in the framework of both models on Fig. 4. Unfortunately, they cannot be compared with the experimental data yet.

IV. CONCLUSION

In the present work, the branching ratio of the decay $\tau \to f_1\pi\nu_\tau$ with intermediate $a_1(1260)$ and $a_1(1640)$ mesons is calculated. We applied both the standard NJL model with only ground-state intermediate mesons, and the extended NJL model, which allows one to take into account also radially excited mesons. Our calculations in both models give results, which are in satisfactory agreement with the recent experimental values.



Figure 4: Partial contributions into differential width of decay $\tau \to f_1 \pi \nu_\tau$

We have shown the importance of taking into account $\pi - a_1$ transitions in the intermediate axial-vector meson states. Indeed, their contribution significantly increases the final results and leads to better agreement with the experimental values (see Table I).

This process was recently described theoretically in [1, 2]. In the first paper, there is the same approach as in the standard NJL model. The branching ratio obtained there is $2.91 \cdot 10^{-4}$. In the second paper, another phenomenological model based on the vector dominance is used. The result obtained equals to $1.30 \cdot 10^{-4}$. Both previous predictions include only $a_1(1260)$ without $\pi - a_1$ transitions as an intermediate meson.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to A. B. Arbuzov for useful discussions.

[1] B. A. Li, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 1436 (1997) [hep-ph/9606402].
[2] G. Calderón, J. H. Muñoz and C. E. Vera, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 114011 (2013).
[3] G. Lopez Castro, D. A. Lopez Falcon, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4400 (1996).
[4] D. G. Dumm, P. Roig, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 076009 (2012).
[5] A. A. Andrianov, V. A. Andrianov, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A **20**, 1850 (2005).
[6] M. K. Volkov, Annals Phys. **157**, 282 (1984).
[7] M. K. Volkov, Sov. J. Part. Nucl. **17**, 186 (1986) [Fiz. Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra **17** 433 (1986)].
[8] D. Ebert, H. Reinhardt, Nucl. Phys. B **271**, 188 (1986).
[9] U. Vogl and W. Weise, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **27**, 195 (1991).
[10] S. P. Klevansky, Rev. Mod. Phys **3**, 649 (1992).
[11] M. K. Volkov, Sov. J. Part. and Nuclei, **24**, 81 (1993).
[12] D. Ebert, H. Reinhardt, M. K. Volkov, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys **33**,1 (1994).
[13] M.K. Volkov, A. E. Radzhabov, Phys. Usp. **49**, 551 (2006).
[14] M. K. Volkov, C. Weiss, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 221 (1997).
[15] M. K. Volkov, Phys.Atom.Nucl. **60**, 997 (1997)[Yad. Fiz. **60**, 1115 (1997)].
[16] M. K. Volkov, D. Ebert, M. Nagy, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **13**, 5443 (1998).
[17] M. K. Volkov, V. L. Yudichev, Phys. Part. Nucl. **31**, 282 (2000) [Fiz. Elem. Chast. At. Yadra **31**,576 (2000)].
[18] A. V. Vishneva, M. K. Volkov [arXiv:1403.1360 [hep-ph]].
[19] M. K. Volkov, A.B. Arbuzov, D. G. Kostunin, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 057301 (2012).
[20] M. K. Volkov, A. B. Arbuzov, D. G. Kostunin, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 015202 (2014).
[21] Y. P. Ivanov, A. A. Osipov, M.K. Volkov, Z. Phys. C **49**, 563 (1991).
[22] D. G. Dumm, P. Roig, A. Pich and J. Portoles, Phys. Lett. B **685**, 158 (2010) [arXiv:0911.4436 [hep-ph]].
[23] Y. P. Ivanov, A. A. Osipov, M. K. Volkov, Phys. Lett. B **242**, 498 (1990).
[24] Z. -H. Guo and P. Roig, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 113016 (2010) [arXiv:1009.2542 [hep-ph]].
[25] P. Roig, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 033007 (2013) [arXiv:1306.1732 [hep-ph]].
[26] J. P. Lees et al. (BABAr collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 092010 (2012).
[27] L. b. Okun, *Leptons And Quarks* (Nauka, Moscow, Russia, 1990).
[28] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).
[29] M. Alekseev et al. (COMPASS collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 241803 (2010).
[30] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **76**, 092005 (2007) [Erratum-ibid. D **77**, 119902 (2008)] [arXiv:0708.2461 [hep-ex]].